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## Honesty in AMT

- Expected (or at least desired) of workers
- Expected of requesters
- But when do people deviate from honest behavior?
- Will vary
- What can be gained by cheating
- How many lies one must tell for that gain


## Experimental Paradigm

- Recruit turkers. Same base pay to avoid selection
- Ask participants about demographics
- Sex, Age, Country, Income, Education
- Ask participants to privately roll a die (or dice) and report outcome to determine payout
* borrowed from Fischbacher \& Heusi


## Three studies

1. Baseline: comparison with prior work

- One die, $\$ 0.25+\$ 0.25$ / pip
- [\$0.50, \$1.75], E=\$1.125
- Average cheater gain would be $\$ .63$ (max cheater, that is)
- N=176 (93 US, 83 India)


## Honest Baseline Payouts



## Three studies

1. Low Variance: less to be gained by dishonesty

- One die, $\$ 1.00+\$ 0.05$ / pip
- [\$1.05, \$1.30], E=\$1.175
- Average cheater gain would be $\$ .13$
- N=267 (140 US, 127 India)

Honest Low Variance Payouts


## Three studies

3. Thirty rolls: more chances to lie (and be caught)

- Thirty dice, $\$ 0.25+\$ 0.01$ / pip
- [\$0.55, \$2.05], E=\$1.30
- Average cheater gain would be $\$ .75$
- $\quad \mathrm{N}=233$ (108 US, 125 India)


## Honest Thirty Roll Payouts




## Baseline

- Average reported roll significantly higher than expected
- $\mathrm{M}=3.91, p<0.0005$
- Similar to Fischbacher \& Huesi



## Conclusion thus far

- People are cheating when they can make as much as $\$ .63$ on average by doing so.


## Low Variance

- Average reported roll significantly higher than expected
$-\mathrm{M}=3.77, p<0.01$
- Same (no sig difference in distribution) as before



## Conclusion thus far

- People cheat just as much when they can only make $\$ .13$ on average by doing so


## Thirty rolls

- Average reported roll much closer to expected (still sig. diff)
$-\mathrm{M}=3.57, p<0.0005$



## Thirty rolls

- Overall, much less dishonesty
- Only 3 of 232 participants reported significantly unlikely outcomes
- Only 1 participant was fully income maximizing (all sixes)


## Conclusion thus far

- People don't cheat very often when given multiple opportunities


## How does dishonesty decrease as a function of opportunities to cheat

- Random assignment to roll 1, 2, 4, 5, 10, or 20 times ( $\mathrm{n}=100$ per condition)
- Average, min, max payout the same in all conds:
- 1 roll condition, 20 cents per pip
- 2 roll condition, 10 cents per pip
-4 roll condition, 5 cents per pip
- 5 roll condition, 4 cents per pip
- 10 roll condition, 2 cents per pip
- 20 roll condition, 1 cent per pip


## Distribution of rolls as number of rolls increases



## Average roll as a function of rolls



## Conclusion thus far

- People lie a relatively high proportion of the time when they have few opportunities, but a lower proportion when they have more opportunities
- A simple way to get the average response "more honest" is to break it over many tasks within one participant
- Average roll is 4.2 in 1 roll condition vs.
-3.57 in 30 roll condition


## Moderators

## Fear of detection and punishment

- Making Turkers very aware that their work would be accepted no matter what increased dishonesty (one roll mean 4.2-4.3 here, 3.9 in previous study)


## Honesty and Qualifications

- In 30-roll study, work was broken in to 10 HITs
- 5 qualification levels
- India \& U.S.
- No significant differences across means

| Qualification | U.S.A. | India |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $0-89 \%$ | 3.71 | 3.48 |
| $90-94 \%$ | 3.57 | 3.56 |
| $95-97 \%$ | 3.60 | 3.65 |
| $98-99 \%$ | 3.63 | 3.55 |
| $100 \%$ | 3.46 | 3.54 |

## Honesty and Qualifications

- In 30-roll study, work was broken in to 10 HITs
- 5 qualification levels
- India \& U.S.
- No significant differences across means
- Only two differed from fair mean

| Qualification | U.S.A. | India |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $0-89 \%$ | 3.71 | 3.48 |
| $90-94 \%$ | 3.57 | 3.56 |
| $95-97 \%$ | 3.60 | 3.65 |
| $98-99 \%$ | 3.63 | 3.55 |
| $100 \%$ | 3.46 | 3.54 |

## Honesty and Demographics

- Fit model using all collected demographics to predict average roll in baseline study and 30roll study
- Looked at education, race, income, age, sex
- No demographic difference significantly predicted deviation from fair outcome


## Ongoing work

- Why does honesty increase with the number of rolls?
- Afraid of detection \& punishment
- Telling multiple lies feels worse

Thank you!

